1 ARMAND J. KORNFELD (WSBA #17214) HONORABLE WHITMAN L. HOLT THOMAS A. BUFORD (WSBA #52969) 2 RICHARD B. KEETON (WSBA #51537) BUSH KORNFELD LLP 3 601 Union Street, Suite 5000 Seattle, WA 98101 4 Tel.: (206) 292-2110 Facsimile: (206) 292-2104 5 Emails: ikornfeld@bskd.com, tbuford@bskd.com, and rkeeton@bskd.com 6 RICHARD M. PACHULSKI (CA Bar #90073)* JEFFREY W. DULBERG (CA Bar #181200)* 7 MAXIM B. LITVAK (CA Bar #215852)* PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 8 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4003 9 Tel: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 10 Emails: rpachulski@pszilaw.com, jdulberg@pszjlaw.com, and mlitvak@pszjlaw.com 11 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice 12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Debtors and Debtors in Possession 13 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 14 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 15 In re Chapter 11 16 EASTERDAY RANCHES, INC., et al. Lead Case No. 21-00141-WLH11 Jointly Administered 17 Debtors.¹ 18 EASTERDAY RANCHES, INC. and Adv. Pro. No. 21-80050 (WLH) EASTERDAY FARMS, 19 **DEBTORS' ANSWER AND** Plaintiffs, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO 20 **COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN** v. L. EASTERDAY ESTATE OF GALE A. EASTERDAY 21 (DECEASED), KAREN L. EASTERDAY, CODY A. EASTERDAY, AND DEBBY 22 EASTERDAY 23 Defendants. 24 25 The Debtors along with their case numbers are as follows: Easterday Ranches, Inc. (21-00141) and Easterday Farms, a Washington general partnership (21-00176). 26 27 DEBTORS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN L. BUSH KORNFELD LLP 28 LAW OFFICES EASTERDAY – Page 1 601 Union St., Suite 5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373 Telephone (206) 292-2110

21-80050-WLH Doc 26 Filed 12/08/21 Entered 12/08/21 15:44:36 Pg 1 of 7

em08k601fn

Facsimile (206) 292-2104

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Easterday Ranches, Inc. ("Ranches) and Easterday Farms ("Farms"), the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors" or "Plaintiffs"), hereby submit this reply (the "Reply") to the counterclaims (the "Counterclaims") set forth in Karen L. Easterday's Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims to Complaint (I) To Determine Validity, Priority, or Extent of Interests in Property and (II) For Declaratory Judgment [Adv. Docket No. 14] (the "Answer") filed by Defendant Karen L. Easterday, individually and as personal representative of the probate estate of Gale A. Easterday (in such capacities, "Defendant") to the Complaint (I) To Determine Validity, Priority, or Extent of Interests in Property and (II) For Declaratory Judgment [Adv. Docket No. 1] (the "Complaint"). In support hereof, the Debtors respectfully state as follows:

REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIMS

- 1. No response to paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims is required because the Counterclaims speak for themselves.
 - 2. Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaims is admitted.
 - 3. Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims is admitted.
 - 4. Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaims is admitted.
- 5. No response to paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims is required because the transactional documents related to the Sale speak for themselves.
- 6. Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaims is denied to the extent that it avers that the Sale Properties³ were owned by any party other than the Debtors.

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEBTORS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN L. EASTERDAY – Page 2

BUSH KORNFELD LLP LAW OFFICES 601 Union St., Suite 5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373 Telephone (206) 292-2110 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

em08k601fn

² A capitalized term used but not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Complaint.

The defined term "Sale Properties" shall have the meaning ascribed to it in paragraph 1 of the Answer.

7

13

12

15

14

1617

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

7. Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims is denied to the extent that it avers that the water rights with respect to the Sale Properties were owned by any party other than the Debtors.

- 8. Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims is denied to the extent that it avers that any of the irrigation improvements sold as part of the Sale were owned by any party other than the Debtors.
 - 9. Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims does not call for a response.
 - 10. Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims is admitted.
- 11. No response to paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims is required because the Stipulation By and Between Debtors and Non-Debtor Sellers Regarding Cooperation with Respect to the Sale of Debtor and Non-Debtor Assets [Bankr. Docket No. 640] (the "Cooperation Agreement"), as approved at Bankr. Docket No. 655, speaks for itself.
 - 12. Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaims is denied.
- 13. Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaims does not call for a response, but to the extent a response is required, it is denied.
 - 14. Paragraph 14 of the Counterclaims is denied.
- 15. Paragraph 15 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.
- 16. Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.
 - 17. Paragraph 17 of the Counterclaims does not call for a response.
 - 18. Paragraph 18 of the Counterclaims does not call for a response.
- 19. No response to paragraph 19 of the Counterclaims is required because the Complaint and Counterclaims speak for themselves, but to the extent that a response is required the Debtors admit they asserted an interest in the Sale Properties and the proceeds therefrom following the Sale.

DEBTORS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN L. EASTERDAY – Page 3

20.

no response is required.

7

5

11

12

1314

16

15

1718

1920

22

21

24

23

2526

2728

21. Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion, and no further response is required because the Counterclaims speak for themselves.
22. Paragraph 22 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which

Paragraph 20 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which

- no response is required.
- 23. Paragraph 23 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.
- 24. Paragraph 24 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.
 - 25. Paragraph 25 of the Counterclaims does not call for a response.
- 26. No response to paragraph 26 of the Counterclaims is required because the Complaint and Counterclaims speak for themselves, but to the extent that a response is required the Debtors admit they asserted an interest in the water rights with respect to Sale Properties and the proceeds therefrom following the Sale.
- 27. Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required.
- 28. Paragraph 28 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion, and no further response is required because the Counterclaims speak for themselves.
- 29. Paragraph 29 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required.
- 30. Paragraph 30 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion, and no further response is required because the Counterclaims speak for themselves.
- 31. Paragraph 31 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.

DEBTORS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN L. EASTERDAY – Page 4

13

12

1415

1617

18

1920

22

21

24

23

2526

27

28

- 32. Paragraph 32 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.
 - 33. Paragraph 33 of the Counterclaims does not call for a response.
- 34. No response to paragraph 34 of the Counterclaims is required because the Complaint and Counterclaims speak for themselves, but to the extent that a response is required the Debtors admit they asserted an interest in the irrigation improvements that were sold as part of the Sale and the proceeds therefrom following the Sale.
- 35. Paragraph 35 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required.
- 36. Paragraph 36 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion, and no further response is required because the Counterclaims speak for themselves.
- 37. Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required.
- 38. Paragraph 38 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.
- 39. Paragraph 39 of the Counterclaims calls for a legal conclusion for which no response is required, but to the extent a response is required, the paragraph is denied.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

40. The Counterclaims fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

41. The Second, Third, and Fourth Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, by the statute of frauds.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

42. Each Counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of unclean hands and/or *in pari delicto*.

DEBTORS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN L. EASTERDAY – Page 5

6

3

13

12

1415

16

17

1819

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

43. Each Counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of waiver.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

44. Each Counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of estoppel.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

45. The Second, Third, and Fourth Counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of failure of consideration.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, by reason of the foregoing, the Debtors request that the court enter judgment:

- (1) determining that the proceeds of the Disputed Property (as defined in the Complaint) belong to the Debtors' estates and not to individual members of the Easterday family, including Defendant;
- (2) declaring that the proceeds of the Disputed Property (as defined in the Complaint) constitute estate property in their entirety;
- (3) denying Defendant's request for judgment directing any specific performance by Plaintiffs with respect to the Cooperation Agreement because of Plaintiffs' good faith compliance with the Cooperation Agreement;
- (4) declaring that the Sale Properties belonged to the Debtors' estates prior to the Sale and not to individual members of the Easterday family, including Defendant;
- (5) determining that the proceeds of the Sale Properties belong to the Debtors' estates in their entirety and not to individual members of the Easterday family, including Defendant;

DEBTORS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF KAREN L. EASTERDAY – Page 6

1 2	(6)	belonged to the	e water rights with respect to Debtors' estates prior to th	e Sale and not to
3		individual memb	ers of the Easterday family, inc	eluding Defendant;
4	(7)	determining that the proceeds of the water rights with respect to the Sale Properties belong to the Debtors' estates in their entirety and not to individual members of the Easterday family, including Defendant;		
5				
6	(9)	,	- imieti in	magnest to the Cale
7 8	(8)	declaring that the irrigation improvements with respect to the Sale Properties belonged to the Debtors' estates prior to the Sale and not to individual members of the Easterday family, including Defendant;		
9				
10	(9)	determining that the proceeds of the irrigation improvements with respect to the Sale Properties belong to the Debtors' estates in their		
11		-	to individual members of the	
12		including Defend	dant; and	
13	(10)	granting the Debtors such other and further relief as the court deems just, proper, and equitable, including the costs and expenses of this action.		
14				
15		action.		
16	Dated: December 8, 2021		BUSH KORNFELD LLP	
17			/s/ Thomas A. Buford, III THOMAS A. BUFORD, III (WSBA 52969) BUSH KORNFELD LLP RICHARD M. PACHULSKI (admitted pro hac vice) JEFFREY W. DULBERG (admitted pro hac vice) MAXIM B. LITVAK (admitted pro hac vice) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP	
18 19				
20				
21				
22				IL & JONES LLP
23			Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Debtors and Debtors in Poss	session
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$				
25				
26				
27 28	DEBTORS' ANSWER AND DEFENSES TO COUNTER EASTERDAY – Page 7		ND AFFIRMATIVE RCLAIMS OF KAREN L.	BUSH KORNFELD LLP LAW OFFICES 601 Union St., Suite 5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373
n				Telephone (206) 292-2110 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

em08k601fn